

Connections between metric characterizations of superreflexivity and the Radon-Nikodým property for dual Banach spaces

Mikhail I. Ostrovskii*

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
St. John's University
8000 Utopia Parkway
Queens, NY 11439
USA
e-mail: `ostrovsm@stjohns.edu`

June 5, 2014

ABSTRACT: Johnson and Schechtman (2009) characterized superreflexivity in terms of finite diamond graphs. The present author characterized the Radon-Nikodým property (RNP) for dual spaces in terms of the infinite diamond. This paper is devoted to further study of relations between metric characterizations of superreflexivity and the RNP for dual spaces. The main result is that finite subsets of any set M whose embeddability characterizes the RNP for dual spaces, characterize superreflexivity. It is also observed that the converse statement does not hold, and that $M = \ell_2$ is a counterexample.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B85 (primary), 46B07, 46B22 (secondary).

1 Introduction

Results of [16] and [24] indicate the existence of some parallels between metric characterizations of superreflexivity and metric characterizations of dual spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property (RNP).

To state the corresponding results we recall the definition of the infinite diamond. The *diamond graph* of level 0 is denoted D_0 . It has two vertices joined by an edge of length 1. D_n is obtained from D_{n-1} as follows. Each edge of D_{n-1} is of length $2^{-(n-1)}$. Given an edge $uv \in E(D_{n-1})$, it is replaced by a quadrilateral u, a, v, b with edge lengths 2^{-n} . We endow D_n with their shortest path metrics. We consider the vertex set of D_n as a subset of the vertex set of D_{n+1} , it is easy to check that this defines an isometric embedding. We introduce D_ω as the union of the vertex sets of $\{D_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. For $u, v \in D_\omega$ we introduce $d_{D_\omega}(u, v)$ as $d_{D_n}(u, v)$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is

*The author gratefully acknowledges the support by NSF DMS-1201269. The author would like to thank William B. Johnson and Beata Randrianantoanina for useful discussions related to the subject of this paper.




Figure 1: Diamond D_2 .

any integer for which $u, v \in V(D_n)$. Since the natural embeddings $D_n \rightarrow D_{n+1}$ are isometric, $d_{D_n}(u, v)$ does not depend on the choice of n for which $u, v \in V(D_n)$. To the best of my knowledge the first paper in which diamond graphs $\{D_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ were used in Metric Geometry is [13] (a conference version was published in 1999).

Theorem 1.1 ([16]). *A Banach space X is nonsuperreflexive if and only if it admits bilipschitz embeddings with uniformly bounded distortions of diamonds $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of all sizes.*

Theorem 1.2 ([24]). *A dual Banach space does not have the RNP if and only if it admits a bilipschitz embedding of D_ω .*

Remark 1.3. It is known [22] that for Banach spaces which are not dual spaces, lack of the RNP does not imply embeddability of D_ω . (See [24] for more results of this type.)

Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 make it natural to try to understand whether similar results hold for other than D_ω separable metric spaces and their finite subsets. In this note we prove that in one of the directions this is true. Recall (see [30] and references therein) that a dual of a separable Banach space has the RNP if and only if it is separable. We prove:

Theorem 1.4. *If a metric space M admits a bilipschitz embedding into any non-separable dual of a separable Banach space, then all of its finite subsets embed into an arbitrary non-superreflexive Banach space with uniformly bounded distortions.*

The implication in the other direction does not hold in general. We mean the following result which is proved in Section 3.

Proposition 1.5. *There exist a separable metric space M and a separable Banach space X with nonseparable dual X^* , such that finite subsets of M admit embeddings into an arbitrary non-superreflexive Banach space with uniformly bounded distortions, but M does not admit a bilipschitz embedding into X^* .*

The Hilbert space ℓ_2 is an example of an M satisfying the conditions of Proposition 1.5.

Remark 1.6. It is worth mentioning that the Hilbert space is, up to an isomorphism, the only Banach space finite subsets of which admit embeddings into an arbitrary non-superreflexive Banach space with uniformly bounded distortions. In fact, by results of James [15] and Pisier-Xu [28] there exist nonsuperreflexive spaces of type 2. It is well known that there exist nonsuperreflexive spaces of cotype 2 (for example, ℓ_1). On the other hand, Bourgain's discretization theorem [5, 12] implies that uniform bilipschitz embeddability of finite subsets implies existence of uniformly isomorphic embeddings of finite-dimensional subspaces. Therefore each Banach space satisfying the conditions of Proposition 1.5 has type 2 and has cotype 2, hence, by the Kwapien theorem [17], it is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

Theorem 1.4 is an immediate consequence of the following result which is proved in the next section.

Definition 1.7. Let X and Y be two Banach spaces. The space X is said to be *finitely representable* in Y if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite-dimensional subspace $F \subset X$ there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $G \subset Y$ such that $d(F, G) < 1 + \varepsilon$, where $d(F, G)$ is the Banach-Mazur distance.

The space X is said to be *crudely finitely representable* in Y if there exists $1 \leq C < \infty$ such that for any finite-dimensional subspace $F \subset X$ there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $G \subset Y$ such that $d(F, G) \leq C$.

Theorem 1.8. *For each non-superreflexive Banach space X there exists a non-separable dual Z^* of a separable Banach space Z , such that Z^* is crudely finitely representable in X .*

We refer to [3, 20, 21, 23, 27] for background material and presentations of some of the results used below.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.8

First we consider the case where X has no nontrivial type. In such a case ℓ_1 is finitely representable in X (by the result of [26]), and therefore $Z = C(0, 1)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.8. In fact, it is clear that $(C(0, 1))^*$ is nonseparable. It is also known (see e.g. [20, Section 5.b]) that $(C(0, 1))^*$ is finitely representable in ℓ_1 .

Now we consider the case where X has nontrivial type. Replacing, if necessary, X by a nonreflexive space finitely represented in it, we may assume that X is nonreflexive. The following notion, introduced by Brunel and Sucheston turned out to be a very useful in the study of nonreflexive spaces with nontrivial type.

Definition 2.1 ([7, p. 84]). A sequence $\{e_n\}$ in a semi-normed space is called *equal signs additive* (ESA) if for any finitely non-zero sequence $\{a_i\}$ of real numbers such that $\text{sign} a_k = \text{sign} a_{k+1}$, the equality

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i e_i + (a_k + a_{k+1}) e_k + \sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} a_i e_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e_i \right\| \quad (1)$$

holds.

Theorem 2.2 ([7]). *For each nonreflexive space X there is a Banach space E with an ESA basis which is finitely representable in X .*

Since this theorem is not explicitly stated in [7], we describe how to get it from the argument presented there. By [29], there is a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in B_X (the unit ball of X) satisfying, for some $0 < \theta < 1$ and some $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset B_{X^*}$ the condition

$$f_n(x_k) = \begin{cases} \theta & \text{if } n \leq k \\ 0 & \text{if } n > k. \end{cases}$$

Following [6, Proposition 1] we build on the sequence $\{x_i\}$ the spreading model \tilde{X} (the term *spreading model* was not used in [6], it was introduced later, see [2, p. 359]). The natural basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in \tilde{X} is *invariant under spreading* (IS) in the sense that

$$\left\| \sum_i \alpha_i e_{k_i} \right\| = \left\| \sum_i \alpha_i e_i \right\|$$

for each strictly increasing sequence $\{k_i\}$ of positive integers. The space \tilde{X} is finitely representable in X , see [7, p. 83]. Now we use the procedure described in [7, p. 84], and get a Banach space E which is finitely representable in \tilde{X} and has an ESA basis. (Actually, the fact that we get a basis was not verified in [7], this was done in [8, Proposition 1]).

Since the space E has nontrivial type, it follows from results of [8, Lemma 3, p. 290] that this basis is boundedly complete and hence E is isomorphic to a dual space (see [21, Proposition 1.b.4]).

Remark 2.3. It would be interesting to show that E is isometric to a dual space. Then we would be able to omit the word ‘crudely’ from the statement of Theorem 1.8.

Let R be a Banach space such that R^* is isomorphic to E . We construct the desired space Z as a transfinite dual of R . Transfinite duals were introduced in [9].

Let us recall the definition. We denote the n th dual ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) of a Banach R by $R^{(n)}$. We say that an ordinal α is *even* if it is either a limit ordinal or an ordinal of the form $\beta + 2n$ where β is a limit ordinal and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $R^{(\alpha)}$ by transfinite induction:

- $R^{(\alpha+1)} = (R^{(\alpha)})^*$.
- If α is a limit ordinal, we let $R^{(\alpha)}$ to be the completion of the union

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\beta < \alpha \\ \beta \text{ is even}}} R^{(\beta)}.$$

(Observe that the union is well defined as a normed linear space since $R^{(\beta)}$ admits a canonical isometric embedding into $R^{(\gamma)}$ if $\beta < \gamma$ and both β and γ are even.)

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.8 we prove the following two statements:

1. The space $R^{(\omega^2+1)}$ is crudely finitely representable in E (and thus in X).
2. The space $R^{(\omega^2)}$ is separable and the space $R^{(\omega^2+1)}$ is nonseparable.

Statement 1 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma:

Lemma 2.4. *Let R be a Banach space and $R^*(= R^{(1)})$ be its dual. Then $R^{(\gamma)}$ is finitely representable in R^* for every odd ordinal γ .*

Proof. For finite ordinals this result is an immediate consequence of the local reflexivity principle [18]. The same principle implies that if the statement is true for an infinite odd ordinal γ , then it is true for all ordinals of the form $\gamma + 2n$. So if we use the transfinite induction, it remains to show that the statement holds for ordinals of the form $\gamma = \alpha + 1$, where α is a limit ordinal, provided it holds for all smaller odd ordinals.

We have

$$R^{(\alpha)} = \text{cl} \left(\bigcup_{\substack{\beta < \alpha \\ \beta \text{ is even}}} R^{(\beta)} \right). \quad (2)$$

Let F be a finite dimensional subspace of $R^{(\alpha+1)}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a finite $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -net in S_F (the unit sphere of F). For each f_i we can find an even ordinal $\beta_i < \alpha$ and a vector $x_i \in Z^{(\beta_i)}$ such that $\|x_i\| = 1$ and $f_i(x_i) \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $\tau = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \beta_i$. Then the natural restriction of F to the space $R^{(\tau)}$ is an ε -isometry, hence F is ε -isometric to a subspace in $R^{(\tau+1)}$, and the induction hypothesis implies that $R^{(\alpha+1)}$ is finitely representable in R^* . \square

To show that $R^{(\omega^2)}$ is separable it suffices to show that $R^{(n\omega)}$ is separable for each n . This can be shown by a straightforward induction based on the following results:

Theorem 2.5 ([25, Theorem 16]). *If X is quasireflexive, then $X^{(\omega)} = X \oplus [x_i]$, where $\{x_i\}$ is an ESA basis.*

Theorem 2.6 ([8, Theorem 3]). *If a Banach space with an ESA basis has nontrivial type, then it is quasireflexive.*

The fact that $R^{(\omega^2+1)}$ is nonseparable was proved by Bellenot [4]. Since the details of the argument of Bellenot are difficult to follow, we would like to mention that this result can be derived using the argument of Davis and Lindenstrauss [10, Theorem 4]. Let us mention the modification of the argument of [10] needed to achieve this goal. To understand the discussion below the reader is expected to read the very elegant proof in [10, pp. 194–196] (we would like to mention that there are two misprints on page 195, line 15 from above: $f_{(\sigma,n)}$ should be $f_{(0,n)}$ and $f_{(0,n)}$ should be $f_{(1,n)}$).

We build the collections $x_{(\sigma,n)}$ and $f_{(\sigma,n)}$ in the way described in [10, pp. 194–195]. Then, for each σ in the Cantor set Δ we pick a sequence $\{\sigma_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of end points in Δ so that $\sigma_j \rightarrow \sigma$ and let $F_\sigma \in R^{(\omega^2+1)}$ be any weak* limit point of the sequence $\{f_{(\sigma_j,1)}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ in $R^{(\omega^2+1)}$. We claim that $\|F_\sigma - F_\tau\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for each $\sigma, \tau \in \Delta$, $\sigma < \tau$. The reason is: we can find a λ which is an end point of Δ and satisfies $\sigma < \lambda < \tau$. But then, as is easy to check, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $F_\sigma(x_{(\lambda,n)}) = 1$ and $F_\tau(x_{(\lambda,n)}) = 0$. Since $\|x_{(\lambda,n)}\| \leq 2$, the conclusion follows. \square

3 Proof of Proposition 1.5

The fact that finite subsets of ℓ_2 admit embeddings into an arbitrary non-superreflexive Banach space with uniformly bounded distortions is an immediate consequence of the Dvoretzky theorem [11].

As an example of a suitable space X we use the James tree space (see [14, 19]), but build on ℓ_p with $p \in (2, \infty)$. More precisely we follow the construction of [19, Section 2]. So we consider an infinite binary tree T_∞ whose vertices can be labelled with finite sequences of 0s and 1s (including the empty sequence) with the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{v \in \mathcal{J}_j} x(v) \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all choices of k and of pairwise disjoint finite descending paths $\mathcal{J}_1, \dots, \mathcal{J}_k$ in the tree T_∞ . Denote by B the closed linear span in $(JT_p)^*$ of the biorthogonal functionals $\{e_v^*\}$ of the unit vector basis $\{e_v\}$ of JT_p .

In the same way as in [19, Section 2] one can establish the following results:

1. JT_p is naturally isomorphic to B^* .

2. The quotient of $(JT_p)^*$ with the kernel B is isometric to $\ell_q(\Gamma)$ where Γ is a set of cardinality continuum and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$.
3. The space $(JT_p)^*$ is a nonseparable dual of a separable Banach space.

It remains to prove that $(JT_p)^*$ does not admit a bilipschitz embedding of ℓ_2 . In fact, otherwise, by [3, Corollary 7.10], it would contain a linear isomorphic image of ℓ_2 . Since $\ell_q(\Gamma)$ with $q \in (1, 2)$ is totally incomparable with ℓ_2 , this would imply that B contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_2 . This can be shown to be false in the following way.

Assume that B contains a sequence $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . Clearly we may assume that $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is disjointly supported with respect to the basis $\{e_v^*\}$. Let $\{b_i^*\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset JT_p$ be a bounded sequence satisfying $b_i^*(b_i) = 1$. The sequence $\{b_i^*\}$ also can be assumed to be disjointly supported. By [19, Corollary 3] (see also [19, Proposition on p. 91]), we may assume that $\{b_i^*\}$ is weakly Cauchy. Then the sequence $\{b_{2k}^* - b_{2k-1}^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is weakly null. Using a straightforward generalization of [1, Theorem, p. 420] we get that $\{b_{2k}^* - b_{2k-1}^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$ contains a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . We assume that $\{b_{2k}^* - b_{2k-1}^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . Then, as is easy to see, we get that for some constant $c > 0$ and any finitely non-zero sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_k \alpha_k b_{2k} \right\| \geq c \left(\sum_k \alpha_k^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Since $q \in (1, 2)$, this contradicts to the assumption that $\{b_n\}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . \square

4 References

- [1] I. Amemiya, T. Ito, Weakly null sequences in James spaces on trees. *Kodai Math. J.* **4** (1981), no. 3, 418–425.
- [2] B. Beauzamy, Banach-Saks properties and spreading models. *Math. Scand.* **44** (1979), no. 2, 357–384.
- [3] Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, volume 1, Providence, R.I., AMS, 2000.
- [4] S. F. Bellenot, Transfinite duals of quasireflexive Banach spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **273** (1982), no. 2, 551–577.
- [5] J. Bourgain, Remarks on the extension of Lipschitz maps defined on discrete sets and uniform homeomorphisms, in: *Geometrical aspects of functional analysis* (1985/86), 157–167, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1267**, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [6] A. Brunel, L. Sucheston, On B -convex Banach spaces. *Math. Systems Theory* **7** (1974), no. 4, 294–299.

- [7] A. Brunel, L. Sucheston, On J -convexity and some ergodic super-properties of Banach spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **204** (1975), 79–90.
- [8] A. Brunel, L. Sucheston, Equal signs additive sequences in Banach spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **21** (1976), no. 3, 286–304.
- [9] W. J. Davis, W. B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, The ℓ_1^n problem and degrees of non-reflexivity, *Studia Math.*, **55** (1976), no. 2, 123–139.
- [10] W. J. Davis, J. Lindenstrauss, The ℓ_1^n problem and degrees of non-reflexivity. II, *Studia Math.*, **58** (1976), no. 2, 179–196.
- [11] A. Dvoretzky, Some results on convex bodies and Banach spaces, in: *Proc. Internat. Sympos. Linear Spaces* (Jerusalem, 1960), pp. 123–160, Jerusalem Academic Press, Jerusalem; Pergamon, Oxford, 1961; Announcement: A theorem on convex bodies and applications to Banach spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **45** (1959) 223–226; erratum, 1554.
- [12] O. Giladi, A. Naor, G. Schechtman, Bourgain’s discretization theorem, *Annales Mathématiques de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse*, vol. **XXI** (2012), no. 4, 817–837; [arXiv:1110.5368](#).
- [13] A. Gupta, I. Newman, Y. Rabinovich, A. Sinclair, Cuts, trees and ℓ_1 -embeddings of graphs, *Combinatorica*, **24** (2004) 233–269; Conference version in: *40th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 1999, pp. 399–408.
- [14] R. C. James, A separable somewhat reflexive Banach space with nonseparable dual. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1974), 738–743.
- [15] R. C. James, Nonreflexive spaces of type 2, *Israel J. Math.*, **30** (1978), 1–13.
- [16] W. B. Johnson, G. Schechtman, Diamond graphs and super-reflexivity, *J. Topol. Anal.*, **1** (2009), no. 2, 177–189.
- [17] S. Kwapien, Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients, *Studia Math.*, **44** (1972), 583–595.
- [18] J. Lindenstrauss, H. P. Rosenthal, The \mathcal{L}_p spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **7** (1969), 325–349.
- [19] J. Lindenstrauss, C. Stegall, Examples of separable spaces which do not contain ℓ_1 and whose duals are non-separable. *Studia Math.* **54** (1975), no. 1, 81–105.
- [20] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **338**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [21] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. I. Sequence spaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. **92**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [22] M. I. Ostrovskii, On metric characterizations of some classes of Banach spaces, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, **64**, (2011), no. 6, 775–784.
- [23] M. I. Ostrovskii, *Metric Embeddings: Bilipschitz and Coarse Embeddings into Banach Spaces*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **49**. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2013.
- [24] M. I. Ostrovskii, On metric characterizations of the Radon-Nikodým and related properties of Banach spaces, *J. Topol. Anal.*, to appear; [arXiv:1302.5968](#).
- [25] J. C. B. Perrott, Transfinite duals of Banach spaces and ergodic super-properties equivalent to super-reflexivity. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **30** (1979), no. 117, 99–111.
- [26] G. Pisier, Sur les espaces de Banach qui ne contiennent pas uniformément de ℓ_n^1 , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A-B* **277** (1973), A991–A994; Seminar version: *Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz* (1973–1974), *Espaces L^p , applications radonifiantes et géométrie des espaces de Banach*, Exp. No. 7, 19 pp. (errata, p. E.1) Centre de Math., École Polytech., Paris, 1974.
- [27] G. Pisier, *Martingales in Banach spaces*, book in preparation, 2014.
- [28] G. Pisier, Q. Xu, Random series in the real interpolation spaces between the spaces v_p , in: *Geometrical Aspects of Functional Analysis. Israel Seminar*, 1985–86, J. Lindenstrauss and V.D. Milman (Eds.), *Lecture Notes Math.*, **1267** (1987), 185–209.
- [29] V. Pták, Biorthogonal systems and reflexivity of Banach spaces, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **9** (1959), 319–326.
- [30] C. Stegall, The Radon-Nikodým property in conjugate Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **206** (1975), 213–223.